

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

N.K

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
01/17/2001	Yasuo Tano	4084-2163	4084-2163 5564	
590 08/12/2003				
THOMPSON COBURN, LLP		EXAMINER		
ONE US BANK PLAZA SUITE 3500			BUI, VY Q	
ST LOUIS, MO 63101				
, 03101		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		3731	Ť.	
		DATE MAILED: 08/12/2003	- f 0	
	01/17/2001 90 08/12/2003 COBURN, LLP C PLAZA	01/17/2001 Yasuo Tano  90 08/12/2003  COBURN, LLP  K PLAZA	01/17/2001 Yasuo Tano 4084-2163  90 08/12/2003  COBURN, LLP  EXAMI  PLAZA  BUI, V  ART UNIT  3731	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,				NK		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/761,915	TANO ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Vy Q. Bui	3731			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover shee	t with the correspondence addres	s		
	TREPLY ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY	IS SET TO EXPIRE	MONTH(S) FROM			
THE N - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failui - Any r	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Isions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	66(a). In no event, however, ma within the statutory minimum o rill apply and will expire SIX (6) cause the application to becom	y a reply be timely filed  f thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  MONTHS from the mailing date of this commule  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.		
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 N	<u> 1arch 2003</u> .				
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) Thi	is action is non-final.				
3)□						
•	on of Claims					
•	4) Claim(s) 1,3,4,7,9-15 and 21-33 is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav	vn from consideration.				
•	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
·	☑ Claim(s) <u>1,3,4,7,9-15 and 21-33</u> is/are rejected.					
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
• —	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers	r election requirement				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 10 March 2003 is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
,	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	animer.				
-	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	n priority under 25 H S	C & 110(a)-(d) or (f)			
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	i priority under 35 0.5	.C. 9 119(a)-(u) of (i).			
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	a have been received				
	1. Certified copies of the priority document		in Application No			
	2. Certified copies of the priority document			<b>00</b>		
* (	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bu See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a	a)).	y.		
14) 🗌 A	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	ic priority under 35 U.S	S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional app	plication).		
	<ul> <li>The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest</li> </ul>					
Attachmer						
2) Notice	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notic	view Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ee of Informal Patent Application (PTO-15 r:			

Art Unit: 3731

; •

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### **Drawings**

Formal drawings filed and entered 03/10/2003 as paper #7 have been reviewed and approved.

#### Oath/Declaration

The oath or declaration is defective. A new oath or declaration in compliance with 37 CFR 1.67(a) identifying this application by "application number" and filing date is required. See MPEP §§ 602.01 and 602.02.

The oath or declaration is defective because:

The original "Oath and Declaration" does not state that: "All errors being corrected arose without deceptive intention". Further, the original "Oath and Declaration" was amended (1/17/2001) after the inventors had been signed the "Oath and Declaration" (10/22/2000)- see MPEP 60105.

A new and correct "Supplemental Oath and Declaration" must be filed stating that "all errors not covered by an "Oath and Declaration" arose without deceptive intention".

Art Unit: 3731

10

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 251

Independent claims 1, 9, 12, 21, and new claim 26 and dependent claims 3-4, 7, 10-11, 13-15, 22-25 and new dependent claims 27-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 251 as being an improper recapture of broadened claimed subject matter surrendered in the application for the patent upon which the present reissue is based. See *Hester Industries, Inc.* v. *Stein, Inc.*, 142 F.3d 1472, 46 USPQ2d 1641 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Clement,* 131 F.3d 1464, 45 USPQ2d 1161 (Fed. Cir. 1997); *Ball Corp.* v. *United States,* 729 F.2d 1429, 1436, 221 USPQ 289, 295 (Fed. Cir. 1984). A broadening aspect is present in the reissue, which was not present in the application for patent. The record of the application for the patent shows that the broadening aspect (in the reissue) relates to subject matter that applicant previously surrendered during the prosecution of the application. Accordingly, the narrow scope of the claims in the patent was not an error within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. 251, and the broader scope surrendered in the application for the patent cannot be recaptured by the filing of the present reissue application.

Claim 1 (application 09/058,183) was amended to recite (I). "a hollow tapered front tip" and (II). "grains are located in arrange of 0.5mm to 3.0mm from an en portion of said front tip" to overcome the 102 (b) rejection entered on 12/04/1998 (application 09/058,183) as being anticipated by SHIMIZU (U. S. Pat. 3,809,101). In the argument filed 04/10/1998 (from line 13, page 5 to line 4, page 6 of attached Amendment A/#6, dated April 10, 1998), the applicants argued that SHIMIZU does

Art Unit: 3731

not disclose the features (I) and (II) above, and therefore, amended claim 1 was clearly defined over SHIMIZU. The amendment and argument was presented to obviate the rejection and was convincing, therefore the amended claim 1 was allowed and issued in U.S. Pat. 5,921,998 (column 6, lines 8, and 11-12, U.S. Pat. 5,921,998). See MPEP 1412.02.

The omission of the features (I) and (II) above in the independent claims 1, 9, 12, 21 and 26 of the current application presents an improper recapture of broadened claimed subject matter surrendered in the application for the patent upon which the present reissue is based.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments and amendment entered as paper #9/B have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

From the history of the prosecution of the parent case (application 09/058,183), the only independent claim 1 ('183) was allowed because the applicant's amendment to include features (I) and (II) in the claim (please see the arguments filed 04/10/1998, from line 13, page 5 to line 4, page 6 of previously attached Amendment A/#6, dated April 10, 1998 in the previous "Office Action"). In the arguments filed 04/10/1998 in the parent case, the applicants expressly relied on features (I) and (II) to define the instant invention over the prior art of rejection, i.e. SHIMUZU.

Because one of ordinary skill in the art does not expect to make SHIMUZU nail file having features (I) and (II), especially feature (II), which indicates abrasive grains in

Art Unit: 3731

a very short range (grains are located in arrange of 0.5mm to 3.0mm from an end portion of said front tip), therefore, feature (II) in claim 1 ('183) clearly defines the instant invention over SHIMUZY nail file.

#### Conclusion

**THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vy Q. Bui whose telephone number is 703-306-3420. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael J Milano can be reached on 703-308-2496. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-2708 for regular communications and 703-308-2708 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0858.

VQB 8/8/2003.